## Esat ÇINAR, LL.M.

## EFFECTS OF EUROPEAN COMMISSION DECISIONS ON COMPETITION LAW BREACHES BEFORE TURKISH COURTS IN PRIVATE ACTIONS



## **Table of Content**

Pre	eface	VII
Ta	ıble of Content	IX
Lis	st of Abbreviations	XI
1.	Introduction	1
	1.1. Background	1
	1.2. Questions and Methodology	3
	1.3. Current Situation in Literature	
	1.4. Structure of the Thesis and Limitation of the Subject	5
2.	Aspects Regarding Competition Law in the Context of Turkish and EU Legal Regimes	7
	2.1. Why Competition Law is Important?	
	2.1.1. Anti-Competitive Agreements	
	2.1.2. Abuse of Dominant Position	
	2.2. Why Competition Law is Important for European Union?	10
	2.2.1. Place of Competition Policy in Founding Treaties and Secondary Legislation	11
	2.2.2. The Approach of European Court of Justice regarding Private Enforcement of Competition Rules	18
	2.2.3. Role of European Commission and NCAs in Competition Law	21
	2.3. Why Competition Law is Important for Turkey	22
	2.4. Turkey EU relations in the Scope of Competition Law	24
	2.4.1. Position of Association Agreement in Legal Systems	27

	2.4.2. Turkish Competition Agency	27
	2.4.3. Place of Private enforcement in The Act on the Protection of Competition	
	2.5. Actions for Damages and Their Importance in Competition Law	29
	2.6. The Problem of Proof in the Context of Legal Liability and Instruments of Proof	31
3.	The Approach of Turkish Private Law to Compensation and Evidence	34
	3.1. Compensation Claims before Turkish Courts	35
	3.1.1. In General	35
	3.1.2. Treble Compensation in Turkish Competition Law	36
	3.2. Turkish Approach to Evidence in Private Law Cases	37
	3.2.1. In General	37
	3.2.2. In Private Actions Against the Breaches of Competition Law	39
4.	Status of Foreign Decisions in the Legal System of Turkey	39
5.	Application of Competition Rules by Turkish Authorities	42
	5.1. The Approach of Turkish Supreme Court (Yargıtay)	43
	5.2. The Approach of Turkish Competition Agency for Abuse of Dominance and Anti-Competitive Agreements	46
	5.2.1. Anti-Competitive Behaviors among Undertakings	47
	5.2.2. Abuse of Dominant Position	49
6.	Possible Scenarios Regarding the Thesis Question	50
	6.1. Scenario 1: If there is no applicable TCA decision about the breach	51
	6.2. Scenario 2: If there is an applicable TCA decision on behalf of the defendant and EC has an opposite decision	53
	6.3. Scenario 3: If the breach has been detected by TCA but the decision of EC tells the opposite	54
7.	SUMMARY and CONCLUSION	
Bil	oliography	59