

Burçak TATLI

**THE LIBERALISATION OF
EUROPEAN ENERGY MARKETS:
THE USE OF COMPETITION LAW
AS A REGULATORY TOOL**

CONTENTS

LIST OF CASES	V
LIST OF LEGISLATIONS	IX
LIST OF DIAGRAMS AND TABLES	XIII
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	XV
CONTENTS	XVII

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

I. BACKGROUND AND RESEARCH QUESTIONS	1
II. METHODOLOGY.....	9
III. STRUCTURE AND OUTLINE	9

CHAPTER 2 THE PROBLEM OF LONG-TERM SUPPLY CONTRACTS IN THE EUROPEAN ENERGY MARKETS

I. INTRODUCTION.....	15
II. THE APPRAISAL OF LONG-TERM SUPPLY AGREEMENTS FROM AN ECONOMIC PERSPECTIVE	18
A. Negative Effects of Long-term Supply Contracts: Market Foreclosure and Decrease in Wholesale Liquidity	19
B. Positive Effects of Long-term Supply Contracts	21

- 1. Limitation of Double Marginalisation, Prevention of Abuse of Market Power, Facilitation of Market Entry21
- 2. Decrease in Transaction Costs, Improvement in Risk-Sharing Mechanism, and Encouragement to Invest..... 22
- C. Analysis of Economic Effects of Long-term Supply Agreements 28
- III. THE APPRAISAL OF LONG-TERM SUPPLY AGREEMENTS FROM A LEGAL PERSPECTIVE31
- A. Early Cases-Monopoly Era.....31
- B. Some Changes in Methodology after Liberalisation Started in the Energy Markets37
- 1. Long-term Supply Contracts: Anticompetitive Contract Clauses42
- 2. Long-term Supply Contracts: Volume and Duration of the Contracts52
- a. The Steps Followed for the Investigation of Long-term Supply Agreements.....52
- b. The Analysis of the Decisions: Economic Efficiency Gains..... 63
- IV. CONCLUSION 66

CHAPTER 3
THE PROBLEM OF PREFERENTIAL CROSS-BORDER TRANSMISSION NETWORK RESERVATIONS IN THE EUROPEAN ENERGY MARKETS

- I. INTRODUCTION..... 69
- II. THE REFLECTION OF PREFERENTIAL NETWORK RESERVATIONS WITHIN THE SECTOR-SPECIFIC REGULATION74
- A. The Problem of Vertical Integration between Network and Supply Activities in the Energy Markets.....74

1. Advantages of Vertical Unbundling.....	79
a. Performance of Transmission Networks.....	79
b. Effectiveness and Efficiency of Regulation	80
c. Development of Competition	81
2. Disadvantages of Vertical Unbundling.....	82
a. Double Marginalisation	82
b. Economies of Scope and Transitional Costs.....	83
c. Insufficient Investment in Generation	84
B. Third-party Access Regime	86
III. LONG-TERM SUPPLY AGREEMENTS AMONG MEMBER STATES AND THE PROBLEM OF PREFERENTIAL NETWORK RESERVATIONS	94
IV. CASE LAW.....	98
A. The Role of the European Court of Justice in the Assessment of Preferential Network Reservations: VEMW and Republic of Slovakia.....	100
1. The VEMW Judgment of the European Court of Justice....	100
2. The Republic of Slovakia Judgment of the European Court of Justice	112
B. The Approach of the European Commission of Preferential Network Reservations under EU Competition Law	117
1. The Assessment of Preferential Network Reservations under Article 102 TFEU: GDF Suez and E.ON Gas.....	118
a. The GDF Suez and E.ON Gas Decisions.....	119
2. Investment in Capacity of Cross-border Interconnectors vs. Priority Access Rights: Viking Cable and UK-France Submarine Cable	125
V. CAN EXISTING LONG-TERM SUPPLY CONTRACTS OBJECTIVELY JUSTIFY PREFERENTIAL NETWORK RESERVATIONS UNDER ARTICLE 102 TFEU?.....	129
VI. CONCLUSION	133

CHAPTER 4
COMMITMENT PROCEEDINGS UNDER
ARTICLE 9 OF REGULATION 1/2003 IN
THE ENERGY MARKETS

I. INTRODUCTION.....	137
II. IMPLEMENTATION OF COMPETITION RULES BY THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION UNDER REGULATION 1/2003	141
A. The Principle of Proportionality in EU Law.....	142
B. Prohibition Proceedings under Article 7 of Regulation 1/2003	144
C. Commitment Proceedings under Article 9 of Regulation 1/2003.....	147
D. Potential Reasons for the Use of Commitment-based Enforcement Policy from the Aspect of the European Commission and Undertakings	156
1. Reasons for Undertakings to Propose a Set of Commitments	156
2. Reasons for the Commission to Conclude Cases through Commitment Decisions.....	158
E. A General Analysis of and the Likely Detrimental Effects of Commitment-based Enforcement Policy	164
1. The Creation of Legal Uncertainty	167
2. The Intervention of the Commission in the Regulated Markets	168
III. CASE LAW.....	170
A. The Analysis of The European Commission's Decisions	171
1. The E.ON Decision.....	174
a. The Commitments and Proportionality.....	177
2. The CEZ Decision.....	182
a. The Commitments and Proportionality.....	184
3. The RWE Decision	188

a. The Commitments and Proportionality.....	190
4. The ENI Decision	192
a. The Commitments and Proportionality.....	194
5. The SvK Decision	197
a. The Commitments and Proportionality.....	201
IV. MORE EFFICIENT AND SUSTAINABLE USE OF COMMITMENT PROCEEDINGS: IS IT POSSIBLE?.....	207
V. CONCLUSION	211

CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION

BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	219
APPENDIX.....	239
GLOSSARY.....	241