

Berfin Nur OSSO

LLB MSocSc

**RETHINKING RIGHTLESSNESS
THE “RIGHT TO HAVE RIGHTS” AND
THE EU-TURKEY STATEMENT**

onikilevha


İÇİNDEKİLER

Preface	VII
List of Latin/Legal Terms	XV
List of Abbreviations	XVI
Terminology.....	XVIII

CHAPTER I Introduction

1.1.Background	3
1.2.Research Objective and Research Questions.....	6
1.3.Scope of the Study	8
1.4.Methods and Data.....	9
1.4.1. Legal Dogmatic Method.....	10
1.4.2. Critical Legal Studies.....	12
1.5.Outline	13

CHAPTER II On the Arendtian Critique of Human Rights

2.1.Human Rights in Political and Legal Theory.....	18
2.2.Why a “Right to Have Rights”?	22
2.3.The “Right to Have Rights” <i>qua</i> the Right to Membership in a Political Community	24
2.3.1. The Decline of the Nation-State	26
2.3.2. The End of the Rights of Man	30

2.3.3. The Perplexities of the Rightless: The Loss of Membership in a Political Community <i>qua</i> the Loss of Opinion and Action.....	35
2.4. The “Right to Have Rights” <i>qua</i> the Right to Asylum	38
2.5. Interim Conclusion.....	42

CHAPTER III

The Law of Human Rights: Certain Safeguards in International and European Law

3.1. The Rights to Life and to Liberty in International and European Law	46
3.2. The Prohibition of Torture in European Law	47
3.3. The Prohibition of Refoulement in International and European Law.	48
3.4. The Secondary Rights under the Refugee Convention	50

CHAPTER IV

Deciding “In”, Acting “Out”: The Externalization of Migration Management in the EU and the Turkish Asylum Regime

4.1. On the Externalization of Migration Management.....	52
4.2. The Externalization of Migration Management in the EU.....	55
4.2.1. What is Externalization? A Multiplicity in Terminology	55
4.2.2. The EU’s Rationales behind the Externalization of Migration Management	57
a. Preventing Irregular Migrants from Reaching the State Territory	58
b. Diversion of Burden through Extraterritorial State Actions.....	59
c. Killing Two Birds with One Stone?	61
4.2.3. Towards a “Fortress Europe”? Externalization as an Intrinsic Part of the EU Asylum Policy.....	62

4.2.4. CEAS and Externalization: Complementing Internal Migration Management with an External Dimension	64
4.2.5. Access Denied: The Instruments of Externalization.....	67
a. The Concept of “Safe Third Country”	67
b. Readmission Agreements.....	70
c. Interception at Maritime and Land Borders.....	72
4.3.The Externalization of Migration Management and the Turkish Asylum Regime	75
4.3.1. The Changing Context of Refugee Protection in Turkey	75
a. Before 2013	75
b. 2013-present	77
4.3.2. The Rights of Non-Europeans under Turkish Law.....	80
4.3.3. On the EU-Turkey Statement of 18 March 2016.....	82
a. A Summer of Migration	82
b. Migrants as a Bargaining Tool: A Win-Win-Lose Situation.....	84
c. Success or Failure?	87
4.4.Interim Conclusion.....	89

CHAPTER V

The “Right to Have Rights” and the EU-Turkey Statement: The Two Unimaginable?

5.1.The EU-Turkey Statement from a Legal Perspective.....	91
5.1.1. Turkey as a Safe Third Country?.....	92
a. No threatening against life and liberty of asylum seekers and refugees (Article 38(1)(a), APD)	95
b. No risk of serious harm against asylum seekers and refugees as defined in the Qualifications Directive (Article 38(1)(b), APD)	98
c. Respect for the prohibition of refoulement (Article 38(1) (c) and (d), APD).....	101

d. Existence of the possibility to claim refugee status and to receive protection in line with the Refugee Convention (Article 38(1)(e), APD)	104
(1) Access to refugee status in law and in practice	104
(2) Access to rights in line with the Refugee Convention in law and in practice	107
(3) Conclusion for Article 38(1)(e) of the APD.....	109
5.1.2. Readmission to Turkey	111
a. Access to asylum and to the secondary rights in the Greek hotspots.....	111
b. Access to asylum and protection from <i>refoulement</i> versus returns to Turkey.....	115
5.1.3. Interceptions at the Greek-Turkish Border	118
a. Pushbacks... Continued.....	119
b. Access to territory and to asylum versus the Greek pushbacks.....	121
5.1.4. Interim Conclusion.....	123
5.2. Back to Arendt: The “Right to Have Rights” and the EU-Turkey Statement.....	128
5.2.1. The EU-Turkey Statement: Setting up Physical and Structural Boundaries.....	129
5.2.2. The EU-Turkey Statement: Including Some, Excluding Others	132
5.2.3. <i>The Border</i> : Access to Territory and to a “Right to Have Rights”	135
5.2.4. <i>The Law</i> : Access to a Juridico-Political Status and to a “Right to Have Rights”	140
5.2.5. <i>The Polis</i> : Access to the Space of Appearance and to a “Right to Have Rights”	144
 CHAPTER VI	
Conclusion: Rethinking Rightlessness	153
REFERENCES.....	159