



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	VII
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	XI
ABBREVIATIONS.....	XV
LIST OF FIGURES.....	XIX
INTRODUCTION .....	1

### **CHAPTER I SAFE THIRD COUNTRY CONCEPT IN INTERNATIONAL AND EUROPEAN LAW AND ITS IMPLEMENTATION WITH RESPECT TO TURKEY**

I. Introduction .....	31
II. Turkey's position with respect to trans-border migratory dynamics.....	32
III. Areas of engagement with international law on asylum and migration by Turkey .....	34
IV. Evolution and scope of the safe third country concept.....	37
1. Emergence and purpose.....	37
2. Definition and legal basis .....	40
3. Conditions of application .....	47

- 4. Legal issues connected with the safe third country concept..... 56
- 5. Turkey’s contributions to the evolution of the safe third country concept ..... 66
  - a. Respect for the refugees’ right to choose country of asylum..67
  - b. Mere transit should not constitute a basis for safe third country transfer.....67
  - c. Causes for irregular movements and abuse of the right to seek asylum..... 68
  - d. Impacts on transit countries and refugee protection ..... 68
  - e. Need for international burden sharing..... 69
- V. Turkey’s position as a safe third country with respect to EU member states.....70

**CHAPTER II**  
**PROBLEMATIC ISSUES IN TURKISH**  
**JUDICIAL PRACTICES REGARDING**  
**INTERNATIONAL PROTECTION,**  
**REMOVAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE**  
**DETENTION PROCEDURES IN THE**  
**LIGHT OF EUROPEAN CASE LAW**

- I. Problematic issues in Turkish practice revealed through case law analysis for prospective research .....79
- II. Problematic issues in Turkish judicial practices regarding international protection procedures ..... 82
  - 1. Assessment of risk arising from non-state actors ..... 82
  - 2. Assessment of excuses with respect to indicators of implicit withdrawal of IP applications .....101
    - a. Comparative analysis and significance of judicial assessment in Turkey in the context of implicit withdrawal of IP applications .....101

---

b. Judicial assessment of excuses for non-compliance with procedural obligations leading to implicit withdrawal of IP application.....	112
3. Assessment of lawfulness of removal during review of withdrawal or rejection of IP applications.....	120
III. Problematic issues in Turkish judicial practices regarding removal procedures.....	132
1. Implementation of removal grounds related to threat to public security and public order.....	132
a. Indicators for assessment of threat .....	132
b. Suspension of removal during judicial appeal procedures and interim measures of the CC.....	149
2. Judicial review in connection with non-specification of country of removal by administration.....	168
3. Instances of inconsistency between interim measure and merit decisions of the CC .....	177
IV. Problematic Issues in Turkish Judicial Practices regarding Administrative Detention Procedures .....	187
1. Jurisdiction of criminal judges with respect to lawfulness of administrative detention .....	187
2. Implementation of risk of absconding as a ground for administrative detention for removal purposes .....	195
3. Judicial review of de facto administrative detention.....	211
4. Compensation for unlawful detention and effective remedy regarding detention conditions.....	221
CONCLUSION.....	251
REFERENCES.....	269