

Dr. Meltem KARATEPE KAYA

Istanbul Medeniyet University
Faculty of Law

**Minority Shareholder Protection:
A Comparative Analysis Between
the UK and Turkey**

Table Of Content

Acknowledgements.....	VII
Table Of Content.....	IX
List of Abbreviations	XVII

Chapter 1 Introduction

1.1. Aims, Objectives and Scope of the Study	7
1.2. Limitations of the Scope of the Study.....	8
1.3. Significance and Contribution of the Study	9
1.4. Research Questions	11
1.5. Research Methodology	11
1.5.1. Doctrinal Research	12
1.5.2. Comparative Research.....	14
1.6. Structure of the Book.....	19

Chapter 2
Protection of Minority Shareholders –
Theoretical Framework

2.1. Introduction	23
2.2. Definition of Minority Shareholders	26
2.2.1. Defining Minority Shareholders in Turkey	27
2.2.2. Defining Minority Shareholders in the United Kingdom	30
2.3. Majority Shareholder Abuse of Rights of Minority Shareholders or Company and the Protection from this Abuse	31
2.4. Role of Protection of Minority Shareholders in Corporate Governance	35
2.4.1. Historical Background	35
2.4.2. Relevance of Corporate Governance in Turkish Law	40
2.5. Analysis of Corporate Governance Practices and Ownership Structures of Companies	42
2.5.1. Various Ownership Structures in the Companies.....	43
2.5.2. Structure of Corporate Ownership and its Effects on the Economy in Turkey	47
2.6. Legal Framework of Corporate Governance and Minority Shareholder Protection in Turkish Law	49
2.7. Concluding Remarks	52

Chapter 3
Mechanism for Protecting Minority Shareholders’ Rights in the United Kingdom

3.1. Introduction	55
3.2. UK Minority Shareholder Protection	58
3.3. Rule of Foss V Harbottle and Exceptions.....	60
3.4. Actions for Shareholders under Common Law.....	64
3.4.1. Personal Actions of the Shareholders	64

3.4.2. Derivative Actions under Common Law.....	65
3.4.3. Reasons for the Rare Use of Derivative Actions in Common Law.....	66
3.5. Statutory Derivative Action.....	70
3.5.1. Parties in a Derivative Claim.....	72
3.5.1.1. Claimant in a Derivative Claim.....	72
3.5.1.2. Defendant in a Derivative Claim	74
3.5.2. Procedure of Statutory Derivative Action	75
3.5.2.1. First Stage of a Derivative Action.....	76
3.5.2.2. Second Stage of a Derivative Action	79
3.5.3. Ratification issue.....	80
3.5.4. The No Reflective Loss Principle	82
3.5.5. Indemnity Order.....	84
3.5.6. Legal Challenges of Statutory Derivative Action.....	86
3.6. Unfair Prejudice Petition in the UK.....	90
3.6.1. Background of Unfair Prejudice Petition: The Old Oppression Remedy.....	90
3.6.2. General Overview of Unfair Prejudice Petition	92
3.6.3. The Petitioner Entitled to Apply to the Court on Grounds of Unfair Prejudice	94
3.6.4. Determination of Some Issues under Unfair Prejudice Petition.....	96
3.6.4.1. Interests of Members.....	96
3.6.4.2. The Determination of ‘Unfairly Prejudicial Conduct’ ...	99
3.6.4.3. Conducting the Company’s Affairs.....	103
3.6.4.4. Examples of Unfairly Prejudicial Conduct which a Petition may be Grounded	105
3.6.4.4.1. Exclusion from Management of the Company	105
3.6.4.4.2. Mismanagement.....	109
3.6.4.4.3. Breach of Directors’ Fiduciary Duties.....	112
3.6.5. Remedies Available through an Unfair Prejudice Petition....	112

3.6.6. Special Circumstances Related to Unfair Prejudice Petition.	114
3.6.6.1. Application of Unfair Prejudice Petition by Majority Shareholders	114
3.6.6.2. Unfair Prejudice Petitions by Shareholders of Quasi-Partnerships	115
3.6.7. Differences between the Two Remedies in Protection of UK Minority Shareholders.....	118
3.6.7.1. General Overview	118
3.6.7.2. How to Distinguish a Derivative Claim and an Unfair Prejudice Petition.....	120
3.6.7.2.1. Application of a Derivative Claim has to be on Behalf of the Company.....	121
3.6.7.2.2. Indemnity Costs	122
3.6.7.2.3. Procedures of Remedies.....	122
3.6.7.2.4. Differences of Reliefs under Both Remedies.....	123
3.6.7.2.5. Differences of Scope of the Applications of Both Remedies	123
3.6.7.2.6. Difference in Time Interval between Remedies ..	124
3.6.7.3. Pursuing a Derivative Action or an Unfair Prejudice Petition.....	125
3.7. Winding Up Order	128
3.8. Concluding Remarks	132

Chapter 4

Analysis of the Framework for Protecting Minority Shareholders’ Rights in Turkey

4.1. Introduction	135
4.1. General Overview of Turkish Legal System.....	137
4.1.1. Legislative Framework of Corporate Governance in Turkey.	138
4.1.2. General Overview of Turkish Corporate Law	140

4.1.2.1. Company Law before the Turkish Commercial Code No 6102.....	140
4.1.2.2. Background of the Requirement of new Turkish Commercial Code for Minority Shareholder Protection.....	140
4.1.2.3. Content of Turkish Commercial Code No 6102	141
4.1.2.4. Companies under Turkish Commercial Code No 6102	142
4.1. Protection of Minority Shareholders in Turkey	145
4.1.1. History of Turkish Commercial Code Related to Protection of Minority Shareholders.....	145
4.1.2. Minority Shareholders' Protection in Turkish Law	146
4.1.2.1. General Overview	146
4.1.2.2. Legal description of minority shareholders in Turkish law.....	147
4.1. Strengthening the Position of Minority Shareholders by Enhancing their Rights under the Turkish Commercial Code	150
4.1.1. Personal rights granted to all shareholders.....	150
4.1.2. Special Rights only Granted to Minority Shareholders.....	151
4.1.2.1. Delay in the Deliberations of the Financial Tables.....	152
4.1.2.2. Appointment of an Independent Auditor	153
4.1.2.3. Calling a General Meeting and including an Item on the Agenda.....	154
4.1.2.4. The Rights with Respect to Release.....	156
4.1.2.5. Cancellation of Decisions of General Meeting.....	156
4.1.2.5.1. Capacity to Act as Claimant.....	157
4.1.2.5.2. Critical Analyses of Cancellation of General Meeting Decisions.....	157
4.1.2.6. The Right to Apply to the Court for Dissolution of a Joint Stock Company for Just Causes	161
4.1.2.7. The Right to Request Issuance of Shares	162
4.1.2.8. The Right to Obtain Information.....	163
4.1.2.9. The Right of Representation of Specific Groups on the Board of Directors	164

4.1.2.10. The Right to Institute a Liability Claim	164
4.1. Protection of Minority Shareholders under the Capital Markets Law	165
4.1.1. General Overview.....	165
4.1.2. The Availability of Dissolution for Just Causes for Activist Shareholders of Public Joint Stock Companies	166
4.1. Concluding Remarks	169

Chapter 5
Analysis of Fundamental Remedial Framework of Protection of Minority Shareholders within the Context of Turkish Commercial Code

5.1. Introduction	171
5.2. A Provision to Protect Minority Shareholders under TCC: Liability Claim	172
5.2.1. Capacity to Act as Claimant in Liability Claim.....	176
5.2.1.1. Claimant in a Liability Claim	176
5.2.1.1.1. Overview.....	176
5.2.1.1.2. Company as Claimant in Liability Claim.....	177
5.2.1.1.3. Shareholders as Claimant in Liability Claim.....	181
5.2.1.2. Defendant of the Liability Claim	184
5.2.2. Giving the General Terms of the Responsibility of Members of the Board of Directors and Other Managers	185
5.2.3. Reasons for Liability in the Code.....	185
5.2.3.1. Responsibilities of Directors Arising from Inaccurate Information or Breach of Statutory Requirements	185
5.2.3.2. Responsibilities Arising from Misrepresentation of Capital Commitment and Payments	186
5.2.3.3. Responsibilities Arising from Giving Inaccurate or Misleading Information on Value of In-kind Capital	187

5.2.3.4. Responsibilities of Directors Arising from Unauthorized Collection of Money from Public	188
5.2.3.5. Responsibility of Members of the Board of Directors under Article 553 of TCC	188
5.2.4. Most Important Features of the Liability Claim in Turkey ...	190
5.2.4.1. Compensation	190
5.2.4.2. Costs of the Litigation	192
5.2.4.3. Type of Joint Responsibility of the Board of Directors: Joint Liability System	194
5.2.4.4. Liability Insurance	194
5.2.4.5. Release of the Directors from Liability	195
5.2.5. Comparison between Derivative Action in the UK and Liability Claim in Turkey	196
5.3. Dissolution of Joint Stock Company for Just Causes	199
5.3.1. Legislative History.....	199
5.3.2. Dissolution of Limited Company for Just Causes	199
5.3.3. Dissolution of Joint Stock Company for Just Causes under Article 531 TCC.....	201
5.3.3.1. Aim of the Provision of Dissolution of the Company for Just Causes.....	202
5.3.3.2. Parties in a Claim for Dissolution of Company for Just Causes	205
5.3.3.2.1. Claimant in a Claim for Dissolution of Company for Just Causes.....	205
5.3.3.2.2. Defendant in a Claim for Dissolution of Company for Just Causes.....	208
5.3.3.3. Basic Elements of Dissolution of Company for Just Causes	208
5.3.3.4. Damage to Rights or Interests of Shareholders	210
5.3.3.4.1. If Existence of the Company Becomes Unbearable for Minority Shareholder	213

5.3.3.4.2. Steps Judges Should Follow in Determining Just Causes	216
5.3.3.4.3. Dissolution of Company as Ultima Ratio	217
5.3.3.5. Remedies Available through Dissolution of the Company for Just Causes.....	219
5.3.3.5.1. Overview	219
5.3.3.5.2. Determination of Acceptable Solution	220
5.3.3.5.3. Reliefs Granted by the Court for Minority Shareholders	221
5.3.3.5.3.1. Dissolution of the Company	221
5.3.3.5.3.2. Purchase of the Petitioner Minority Shareholder’s Shares	222
5.3.3.5.3.3. Removing Problem Accepted as a Just Cause for this Case	225
5.3.3.5.3.4. Invalidation or Alteration of Decision of Board of Directors or General Meeting.....	226
5.3.3.5.3.5. Modification of Shareholder’s Agreement..	226
5.3.3.5.3.6. Shareholder Appointed as Director or Division of Company.....	227
5.3.3.6. Rejection of Case.....	227
5.3.3.7. Relationship between Dissolution of the Company for Just Causes Remedy and Liability Claim	228
5.3.3.8. Link between Dissolution for Just Causes Remedy and English Law.....	229
5.4. Concluding Remarks	232

Chapter 6 Conclusion

6.1. Summary and Findings of the Research	237
6.2. Contribution and Recommendations of the Research.....	242
6.3. Areas of Further Research	249
BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	251